



CALFED Progress Report
California Sea Grant College Program

ConfirmationNumber
20120601154934

Printed: 6/1/2012 3:53:06 PM ProjectYear\_2A 2nd ProjectNo\_2C R/SF-37PD
TypeQuestionnaire\_2B Interim Questionnaire

Preparer Information

PrepName\_1A Laura Feinstein
PrepEmail\_1B lfeinstein@ucdavis.edu
PrepPhone\_1C 530-204-8325

Project Information

ProjectNo\_2C R/SF-37PD StartDate\_3a 5/1/2009 EndDate\_3b 12/31/2011
ProjectTitle\_4 Frequency, Distribution, and Ecological Impact of Cryptic Hybrid Invaders: Management Tools for ERadication of Invasive Spartina

CALFed Fellow contact information

FelTitle\_5A Ms. FelLast\_5B Feinstein FelFirst\_5C Laura FelInit\_5D B
FelInstitution\_5E U.C. Davis
FelDepartment\_5F Evolution and Ecology
FelStreetAddr\_5G One Shields Ave
FelCity\_5H Davis FelState\_5I CA FelZip\_5J 95616
FelPhone\_5K 530-204-8325 FelFax\_5L 530-752-1449
FelEmail\_5M lfeinstein@ucdavis.edu
FelPositionTitle\_5N Graduate Student

Research Mentor (for additional please see #8)

RMTitle\_6A Dr. RMLastName\_6B Strong RMFirstName\_6C Donald RMInit\_6D R
RMInstitution\_6E U.C. Davis
RMDepartment\_6F Evolution and Ecology
RMStreetAddr\_6G One Shields Ave
RMCity\_6H Davis RMState\_6I CA RMZip\_6J 95616
RMPhone\_6K 530-752-7886 RMFax\_6L 530-752-1449
RMEmail\_6M drstrong@ucdavis.edu
RMPositionTitle\_6N Professor

Community Mentor (for additional please see #9)

CMTitle\_7A Ms. CMLastName\_7B Olofson CMFirstName\_7C Peggy CMInit\_7D
CMInstitution\_7E Invasive Spartina Project
CMDepartment\_7F
CMStreetAddr\_7G 2612-A 8th Street
CMCity\_7H Berkeley CMState\_7I CA CMZip\_7J 94710
CMPPhone\_7K (510) 548-2461 CMFax\_7L 510-548-2460
CMEmail\_7M prolofson@spartina.org
CMPositionTitle\_7N Project Director

Additional Research Mentors and Community Mentors

Additional Research Mentors\_8

Table with 1 column and 10 rows for Additional Research Mentors\_8

Additional Community Mentors\_9

Table with 1 column and 10 rows for Additional Community Mentors\_9, containing contact info for Ingrid Hogle

**Project Objectives: Please type your responses, and answer the questions in a style appropriate for laymen.**

**ProjectObjectives\_10**

Spartina alterniflora x foliosa is a noxious weed of San Francisco estuary salt marshes. It outcompetes native Spartina, fills in open mudflats and tidal channels, and colonizes the high intertidal, displacing native flora and fauna. It is a hybrid of the native S. foliosa and the introduced S. alterniflora, and while many hybrids are visibly larger than the native Spartina foliosa, some individuals may blend in with the native population. CALFED, the California Coastal Conservancy, and other government agencies fund that Invasive Spartina Project (ISP) with the goal of eradicating all non-native Spartina from the region. The ISP is concerned about the high number of plants that are identified in the field as native but are genotyped in the laboratory as hybrids. These "cryptic hybrids" may evade control efforts. The goals of this project are:

1. To determine the frequency of morphologically cryptic hybrids; that is, plants that are genetically hybrid but which are physically indistinguishable from the native population.
2. To improve the methods used in genotyping Spartina, and to determine how often the differences between field and laboratory identification are due to errors in laboratory genotyping.
3. To determine if cryptic hybrids pose the same ecological problems as the larger, morphologically evident hybrids.

**Summary of progress in meeting each of these goals and objectives**

**ProgressSummary\_11**

1. To determine if differences between field and laboratory identification are due to overlapping morphology in native and hybrid Spartina, I randomly sampled and measured 96 plants in four marshes. For the genetic testing I classified plants into three groups: pure native (0% of genetic markers were exotic), low-percent hybrids (10-30% of genetic markers were exotic), and high-percent hybrids (40-60% of markers were exotic). 25% of samples were hybrids; of those, 75% were low-percent hybrids, and 25% were high-percent hybrids. Morphological measurements showed that high-percent hybrids were clearly distinct from natives. However, low-percent hybrids look very similar to natives and many cannot be distinguished either by visual identification, nor by quantitative measurements coupled with statistical analysis.

2. To improve the method for genotyping Spartina and establish the rate of false positives (native plants that are genotyped as hybrids), I tested a newer, more reliable method for identifying hybrids: using microsatellite markers in conjunction with a population assignment software program, STRUCTURE. Past genotyping of Spartina was done with RAPD markers, a method that is now considered less reliable than newer approaches. My results showed that RAPDs were usually correct, although they did falsely identify 3% of native samples as hybrids. The high concordance between microsatellites and RAPDs suggests that most disagreements between genetic and field identification are due to the overlap in morphology between low percent hybrids and natives. There were no false negatives (samples that were identified by RAPDs as native when they were in fact hybrid). However, my work thus far has focused mainly on high percent hybrids and sites with relatively little interspersed of hybrids and natives; further comparing the reliability of RAPDs and microsatellites in more difficult circumstances may show that RAPDs do sometimes falsely identify low-percent hybrids as native.

3. The principal problems posed by large, evident hybrids are that they outcompete native Spartina, and they are capable of growing higher and lower in the intertidal than the native. However, we do not know whether cryptic hybrids will behave more like the robust, high-percent hybrids, or if they resemble native Spartina closely in their ecology as well as their morphology. To investigate this question, I have planted 150 genets of varying percent hybridity and morphology in plots from the low to the high intertidal. I am still in the process of measuring the relative fitness and competitive ability of each genet; I will have final results in fall 2011.

**PROJECT MODIFICATIONS:** Please explain any substantial modifications in research plans, including new directions pursued. Describe major problems encountered, especially problems with experimental protocols and how they were resolved. Describe any ancillary research topics developed.

**Modifications\_12**

This project has been modified in two major ways since its inception. First, I originally planned to explore objective 3 with a mesocosm experiment because I thought I would be unable to obtain permission to plant hybrid Spartina in the field. However, by working with the Invasive Spartina Project and East Bay Regional Parks District, I was able to obtain permission to conduct the experiment in the field. This will yield more realistic results than a mesocosm experiment.

The second major modification is I added more treatments to the field experiment. I originally planned to explore only the ability of cryptic hybrids to spread in the low intertidal. I added plots in the high intertidal so I could also test their ability to spread in the high intertidal, where hybrids can potentially displace native pickleweed and grindelia.

**BENEFITS AND APPLICATIONS:** Suggest the relevance of these new findings to management. Describe any accomplishment, that is significant effects your project has had on resource management or user group behavior. CALFED is looking for "management cue" (see <http://science.calwater.ca.gov/pdf/soemgmtcues.pdf>).

**BenefitsApplic\_13**

1. The results from Objective 1 indicate that visual identification in the field of low-percent hybrids can be difficult, if not impossible. However, managers can be reasonably confident that they are able to field-identify high-percent hybrids. Low-percent hybrids may escape control efforts; further exploration of the potential ecological impacts of low-percent hybrids (Objective 3) is needed to determine if low-percent hybrids are an ecological threat, or a relatively benign addition to local salt marshes.

2. The Invasive Spartina Project is now using microsatellites in conjunction with STRUCTURE in place of the old method of using RAPD markers. The ISP and I continue to work together to improve their methods for genotyping.

3. The results of Objective 3 will help managers decide if low-percent hybrids pose an ecological risk to salt marshes. It may turn out that plants below a certain threshold of percent hybridity are so similar to native plants that they can be considered an acceptable addition to the ecosystem.



**COOPERATING ORGANIZATIONS:** List those agencies and/or persons who provided financial, technical or other assistance to your project since inception. Describe the nature of their collaboration.

**CoopOrganiz\_15**

Invasive Spartina Project provided assistance with locating samples, use of a GPS unit, and obtaining permits.  
East Bay Regional Parks District gave permission for use of their land for the field experiment.  
Debra R. Ayres, Christina Sloop, and Michael Blum provided assistnace with genetic methods.

**AWARDS:** List any special awards or honors that you, or mentor or members of the research team, have received during the duration of this project.

**Awards\_16**

**KEYWORDS:** List keywords that will be useful in indexing your project.

**Keywords\_17**

Spartina, cordgrass, salt marsh, San Francisco Bay, tidal wetlands, invasive species, hybrids, molecular ecology

**PATENTS:** List any patents associated with your project.

**Patents\_18**

